Complex Systems 1 (1987) 211-216

Fast Computation of Additive Cellular Automata

Arch D. Robison

Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois, 1304 West Springfield Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Abstract. Direct simulation of an additive cellular automaton takes a time $O(t^2)$ to compute an arbitrary site value t time steps into the future. For the case of a single initial nonzero site, the problem is equivalent to computing a coefficient residue of a polynomial power. An algorithm is derived which computes an arbitrary site's value in time $O(\log t)$.

1. Introduction

A cellular automaton consists of a row of cells which change state over time [1]. The value of a site at position i and time t is denoted $a_i^{(t)}$. An additive cellular automaton [2] has a rule of the form:

$$a_i^{(t)} = \sum_j s(j) a_{i-j}^{(t-1)} \mod m$$
(1.1)

where s specifies the rule. If the automaton's sites are viewed as coefficients of a polynomial, then each row is obtained by multiplying the previous row by a rule polynomial. Since polynomial multiplication is associative, the problem reduces to computing powers of the rule polynomial. Let $A^{(t)}(x)$ and S(x) be the automaton state and rule polynomials respectively.

$$A^{(t)}(x) = \sum_{i} a_{i}^{(t)} x^{i}$$
(1.2)

$$S(x) = \sum_{i} s_i x^i \tag{1.3}$$

Then the state of the automaton after t time steps is given by

$$A^{(t)}(x) = A^{(0)}(x)S^{t}(x) \mod m$$
(1.4)

Via the Chinese Remainder Theorem, our problem reduces to computing solutions for moduli which are powers of primes, i.e. $m = p^{\gamma}$. The rest of this paper develops an algorithm for quickly computing any $a_i^{(t)}$ for the case $m = p^{\gamma}$ and $A^{(0)}(x) = 1$.

(c) 1987 Complex Systems Publications, Inc.

2. Notation

All polynomials in this paper are formal power series; the powers of x are placeholders only. A polynomial Q(x) is written

$$Q(x) = \sum_{i=r_Q}^{l_Q} q_i x^i \tag{2.1}$$

where r_Q and l_Q are the minimum and maximum degrees of the terms. The terms may have negative degree. We define the width w_Q of polynomial Q as $w_Q = l_Q - r_Q$. Subscripts are omitted where only a single polynomial is under consideration. The notation

$$Q(x) \equiv Q'(x) \mod m \tag{2.2}$$

means that the coefficients of Q(x) and Q'(x) are congruent, i.e.

$$q_i \equiv q'_i \mod m \tag{2.3}$$

for all integers i.

3. Self-Similar Polynomials

We call a polynomial Q with integer coefficients self-similar mod m if there exists a scaling exponent β such that:

$$Q^{\beta}(x) \equiv Q(x^{\beta}) \mod m. \tag{3.1}$$

This section will show that self-similar polynomials may be generated for moduli of the form p^{γ} , where p is prime and γ is a positive integer. Self-similar polynomials are the key to the algorithm, since exponentiating such polynomials is much easier than exponentiating arbitrary polynomials.

Lemma 1. The sum of self-similar polynomials mod p is self-similar for scaling exponent p. Thus, given a prime p and self-similar polynomials Q(x) and R(x):

$$[Q(x) + R(x)]^{p} \equiv Q(x^{p}) + R(x^{p}) \mod p.$$
(3.2)

Proof.

$$[Q(x) + R(x)]^{p} \equiv Q^{p}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} {p \choose i} Q^{i}(x) R^{p-i}(x) + R^{p}(x)$$
(3.3)

The terms of the summation vanish because [3]

$$\binom{p}{i} \equiv 0 \mod p \quad 1 \le i \le p - 1 \tag{3.4}$$

which leaves us with

$$[Q(x) + P(x)]^{p} \equiv Q^{p}(x) + R^{p}(x) \equiv Q(x^{p}) + R(x^{p}) \mod p \quad \blacksquare \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 2. Monomials are self-similar mod p with a scaling exponent of p, so that given a prime p and monomial $Q(x) = ax^n$,

$$Q^p(x) \equiv Q(x^p) \mod p. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Fermat's little theorem:

$$Q^{p}(x) = a^{p} x^{np} \equiv a x^{np} = Q(x^{p}) \mod p \quad \blacksquare \tag{3.7}$$

Theorem 1. All polynomials are self-similar mod p for scaling exponent p, so that given prime p and polynomial Q(x),

$$Q^p(x) = Q(x^p) \mod p. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Since monomials are self-similar, their sum Q(x) is also self-similar.

Theorem 2. All polynomials of the form $Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x)$ are self-similar mod p^{γ} for scaling exponent p, so that given prime p, polynomial Q(x), and non-negative integer γ ,

$$\left[Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x)\right]^p \equiv Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x^p) \mod p^{\gamma}.$$
(3.9)

Proof. (Induction on γ .) We have already shown that the theorem is true for $\gamma = 1$. Assuming that the theorem is true for $\gamma' = \gamma - 1$:

$$Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x) \equiv Q^{p^{\gamma-2}}(x^p) \mod p^{\gamma-1}$$
 (3.10)

Then there must exist a polynomial R(x) such that:

$$Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x) \equiv Q^{p^{\gamma-2}}(x^p) + p^{\gamma-1}R(x) \mod p^{\gamma}$$
(3.11)

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x) \end{bmatrix}^p \equiv Q^{p^{\gamma-1}}(x^p) + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} \left(p^{\gamma-1} \right)^j Q^{p-j}(x) R^j(x) \\ + \left(p^{\gamma-1} \right)^p R^p(x) \mod p^{\gamma}$$
(3.12)

For $1 \leq j \leq p-1$,

$$\binom{p}{j} \left(p^{\gamma-1} \right)^j \equiv 0 \mod p^{\gamma} \tag{3.13}$$

which causes all terms in the sum to vanish. Finally, since $\gamma > 1$ and p > 1 the last term must also vanishes.

4. Computation of Powers of Self-Similar Polynomials

In this section, Q(x) is a self-similar polynomial mod m with scaling exponent β . Let q(b, i) be the *i* th coefficient of the expansion of $Q^b(x) \mod m$, i.e.

$$Q^{b}(x) \equiv \sum_{i} q(b,i) x^{i} \mod m.$$
(4.1)

We show how to compute the *i* th coefficient of $Q^b(x)$ in $O(\log b)$ time.

Lemma 3. Given a table of $Q^k(x)$ for $0 \le k < \beta$, we can compute $Q^b(x)$ with $\log b / \log \beta$ polynomial multiplications (convolutions of coefficients).

Proof. Define k MODm for integers k, m as the least non-negative residue of k modulo m. We can rewrite b as

$$b = b MOD \beta + \beta |b/\beta| \tag{4.2}$$

$$Q^{b}(x) \equiv Q^{bMOD\beta}(x)Q^{\lfloor b/\beta \rfloor}(x^{\beta}) \mod m$$
(4.3)

Since b is divided by β on each application of the recurrence, we need apply the recurrence at most $\log b / \log \beta$ times.

Theorem 3. If we compute q(b,i) for $r_i \leq i \leq l_i$ by the convolutions in the lemma, and $l_i - r_i \leq w$, where w is the width of Q(x), then each convolution takes time

$$O\left(\beta w \log w\right) \tag{4.4}$$

Proof.

$$q(b,i) \equiv \sum_{j} q(b MOD \beta, i - \beta j) q(\lfloor b/\beta \rfloor, j) \mod m$$
(4.5)

By considering the width of successive powers of Q(x), we can see that q(b,k) is zero for $k < l_Q b$ or $k > r_Q b$. Therefore $i - j\beta$ must be constrained as follows:

$$r_Q(\beta - 1) \ge i - \beta j \ge l_Q(\beta - 1) \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{r_Q\left(\beta-1\right)+r_i}{\beta}=r_j\leq j\leq l_j=\frac{l_Q\left(\beta-1\right)+l_i}{\beta}.$$
(4.7)

From this we can show:

$$l_j - r_j \le w. \tag{4.8}$$

By induction we see that this bound holds for the recursive evaluations of q(b, j). By Fourier methods, we can convolve two sequences of width w in time $O(w \log w)$. The convolution as written is not an ordinary convolution in that the "traveling" subscripts change at different rates, so that the changing subscripts are $i - j\beta$ and j. We actually need to do β ordinary convolutions, i.e. a convolution for each i in $\{0, \ldots, \beta - 1\}$. Each convolution computes all $q(b, i + \beta k)$ for all k:

$$q(b,i+\beta k) = \sum_{j} q(b MOD \beta, i+\beta(k-j)) q(\lfloor b/\beta \rfloor, j)$$
(4.9)

Thus we compute β convolutions of width w.

Lemma 4. Given a polynomial P(x) of width w, we can compute the first n powers of P in time $O(nw^n \log w)$.

Proof. We compute $P^k(x) = P(x)P^{k-1}(x)$. The width of $P^k(x)$ is $w^k + 1$. By use of the Fourier transform, the time to compute $P^k(x)$ from $P^{k-1}(x)$ is

$$O((w^{k}+1)\log(w^{k}+1)) = O(kw^{k}\log w).$$
(4.10)

The time to compute the first n-1 powers of P(x) is

$$O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k w^k \log w\right) \subset O\left((n-1)w^n\right).$$
(4.11)

The time to compute the *n* th power of P(x) is

$$O\left(nw^n\log w\right),\tag{4.12}$$

which dominates the computation time for the first n-1 powers of P(x).

Theorem 4. We can compute q(b,i) for $r_i \leq i \leq l_i$ where $l_i - r_i \leq w_Q$ in time

$$O\left((w\log w)\frac{\beta}{\log\beta}\log b + \beta w^{\beta-1}\log w\right)$$
(4.13)

Proof. The first term is the product of the number of convolutions and operations per convolution. The second term is the table construction time. The table contains the first $\beta - 1$ powers of Q(x), which are computed by the previous lemma.

Theorem 5. Given a polynomial S(x) such that $S^{\alpha}(x)$ is self similar mod m with scaling exponent β , i.e.

$$S^{lphaeta}(x) \equiv S^{lpha}(x^{eta}) \mod m$$
 (4.14)

we can compute coefficient k of $S^t(x) \mod m$ in time

$$O\left(\alpha w \log(\alpha w) \frac{\beta}{\log \beta} \log t + \beta (\alpha w)^{\beta-1} \log(\alpha w) + \alpha w^{\alpha-1} \log w\right)$$
(4.15)

Proof. We can rewrite $S^t(x)$ as

$$S^{t}(x) = S^{t MOD \alpha}(x) \left[S^{\alpha}(x)\right]^{\lfloor t/\alpha \rfloor}$$
(4.16)

Let $Q(x) = S^{\alpha}(x)$. Note that the extreme degrees of Q(x) are $l_Q = \alpha l_S$ and $r_Q = \alpha r_S$.

$$S^{t}(x) = S^{t MOD \alpha}(x)Q^{\lfloor t/\alpha \rfloor}(x)$$
(4.17)

Define s(t, k) as the k th coefficient of $S^{t}(x)$:

$$S^{t}(x) = \sum_{i} s(t,i) x^{i}$$
(4.18)

$$s(t,k) = \sum_{i} s(t MOD \alpha) q(\lfloor t/\alpha \rfloor, i), \qquad (4.19)$$

Since $t MOD \alpha < \alpha$, we have the constraint

$$(\alpha - 1)l_S \le k - i \le (\alpha - 1)r_S \tag{4.20}$$

$$(\alpha - 1)l_s + k \ge i \ge (\alpha - 1)r_s + k \tag{4.21}$$

$$l_i - r_i \le (l_s - r_s)(\alpha - 1) \le (l_s - r_s)\alpha = l_Q - r_Q.$$
(4.22)

Therefore we can compute the necessary coefficients of $Q^{\lfloor t/\alpha \rfloor}(x)$ within the previously proven time bound.

The latter two terms in the theorem are table construction times. The table q contains the first $\beta - 1$ powers of $S^{\alpha}(x)$; the table s contains the first $\alpha - 1$ powers of S(x).

5. Evolution from a single site seed

Given rule S(x) and a single-site seed $A(x) \equiv 1$, $a_k^{(t)} = s(t,k)$. By setting $\alpha = p^{\gamma-1}$ and $\beta = p$, we can use the previously derived algorithm to compute s(t,k).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Stephen Wolfram for suggesting this problem. The author is supported by a Shell Fellowship in Computer Science.

References

- Stephen Wolfram, Theory and Applications of Cellular Automata, (World Scientific Publishing Co., 1986).
- [2] Olivier Martin, Andrew M. Odlyzko, and Stephen Wolfram, "Algebraic Properties of Cellular Automata", Communications in Mathematical Physics, 93 (1984) 219-258; reprinted in [1].
- [3] Donald E. Knuth, The Art Of Computer Programming, vol. 1, (Addison-Wesley, 1973) p. 68.